IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

FRIDAY, THE 16TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 25TH KARTHIKA 1929

CRP.No. 2825 of 2000(F)

OP.425/1998 of ADDL. DISTRICT COURT, THALASSERY

REVN. PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:

THE K.S.E.BOARD, REPRESENTED BY CHAIRMAN,
VYDHUTHI BHAVANAM, PATTOM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 4.

BY ADV. SRI.C.K. KARUNAKARAN

RESPONDENT: PETITIONER:

KOKKADAN KANNAN, S/O.RAMAN,
P.O.KARIMBAM, KURUMATHOOR VILLAGE,
KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.T.MOHAMMED

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.T.SANKARAN, J.

C.R.P. NO. 2825 OF 2000

Dated this the 16th day of November, 2007

O R D E R

This Revision arises out of an order in O.P.No.425 of 1998, on the file of the Court of the Additional District Judge, Thalassery. The court below disposed of five Original Petitions including O.P.No.425 of 1998, by the common order dated 8.3.2000. The Civil Revision Petitions filed against the order in all other connected cases were disposed of. C.R.P.No.2449 of 2000, arising out of O.P.No.246 of 1998, and C.R.P.No.1882 of 2001, arising out of O.P.No.123 of 1999, were disposed of by the order dated 30.8.2006, on the ground that there are no grounds to condone the delay. C.R.P.No.198 of 2001, arising out of O.P.No.397 of 1998, was also dismissed on the ground of delay. C.R.P.No.2876 of 2000 was considered on the merits and this Court disposed of the same on 26.3.2003, holding that the compensation fixed by the court below was just and reasonable. I respectfully adopt the reasoning and conclusion in C.R.P.No.2876 of 2000. Therefore, nothing survives in this Civil Revision Petition for consideration.

The Civil Revision Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. No order as to costs.

(K.T.SANKARAN)
Judge

ahz/

K.T.SANKARAN, J.

C.R.P.NO. 2825 OF 2000 F

O R D E R

16th November, 2007